



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,930	07/25/2003	Hardayal Singh Gill	HITG.049PA(0548)	5420
7590 02/03/2006			EXAMINER	
Chambliss, Bahner & Stophel, P.C.			MILLER, BRIAN E	
1000 Tallan Bu	ilding			
Two Union Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chattanooga, TN 37402			2652	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/626,930	GILL, HARDAYAL SINGH			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brian E. Miller	2652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	<u>anuary 2005</u> .				
,—	,					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachme			· (DTO 442)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/626,930 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

Claims 1-12 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-5, 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mao (US 2. 6,466,419). Mao discloses a spin valve sensor 150, as shown in at least FIGs. 5A& 5B, including: (as per claims 1 & 7) a first pinned layer 168 having a first width, e.g., larger, and a first magnetic orientation, e.g., into the page, as shown in FGI. 5A; a free layer 160 having a second width, e.g., small, disposed above the first pinned layer; and a bias layer 156 having the second width disposed above the free layer and a second magnetic orientation, i.e., left to right as the free layer 160, orthogonal to the first magnetic orientation, wherein the second width is smaller than the first width. It is noted, that with respect to the recited magnetic recording medium in claim 7, Mao shows one, i.e., 102 (FIG. 1), which is disposed proximate the sensor as is known in the art (see also col. 3, lines 49-57); (as per claims 2 & 8) wherein a second pinned layer 164 having a third magnetic orientation anti-parallel to the first magnetic orientation, e.g., out of the page as shown in FIG. 5A), a coupling layer 166 (Ru) disposed between the first and second pinned layers; (as per claims 3 & 9) wherein a thickness of the first pinned layer is substantially equal to a thickness of the second pinned layer, at least inherent to the structure of Mao, since the relative equivalent thickness of these layers are important for proper functioning

Application/Control Number: 10/626,930 Page 3

Art Unit: 2652

of the sensor; (as per claims 4 & 10) wherein an anti-ferromagnetic (AFM) layer 170 of PtMn, for example, is disposed adjacent to the first pinned layer 168; (as per claim 5) wherein a thickness of the AFM layer establishes exchange coupling between the AFM layer and the first pinned layer, which is also inherent to the structure of Mao since that is the purpose of the layer as known in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6 & 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mao. For a description of Mao, see the rejection, supra. While Mao describes a laminated pinned structure including an AFM pinning layer 170, however, Mao is silent as to the first and second pinned layers being self-pinned. Official Notice is taken that self-pinned structures in MR sensors, were notoriously old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made. It would have been considered obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the antiferromagnetically pinned structure of Mao with an equivalent "self-pinned" structure as was known in the art. The motivation would have been: providing a "self-pinned" structure would have eliminated the AFM layer and provided a thinner and more compact device, which was a well known design goal in the art and would have been readily provided for by a skilled artisan.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure including US Patents to Gill (6,219,208) and Coffey et al (5,583,725) which are cited to show teachings of "self-pinned" structures.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-7578. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7:15am-4:45pm (and every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A. L. Wellington can be reached on (571) 272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian E. Miller

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2652

BEM

February 1, 2006